

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 05/05/2006

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/722,405	11/28/2003	Takashi Suzuki	000409-073	7172
21839 7590 05/05/2006		EXAMINER		
	N INGERSOLL PC BURNS, DOANE, SW	WECKER & MATHIS)	SCHINDLER, DAVID M	
POST OFFICI	-	zonza warma,	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ALEXANDRIA, VA 22313-1404		2862		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•			Ġ		
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
I	055: 4-4: 0	10/722,405	SUZUKI ET AL.			
1	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
		David Schindler	2862			
Period	The MAILING DATE of this communication app I for Reply	pears on the cover sheet	with the correspondence address			
·W - E - I - F	SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL HICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period railure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute than three months after the mailine parned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMU 136(a). In no event, however, may will apply and will expire SIX (6) Me, cause the application to become	NICATION. a reply be timely filed ONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status	•					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 F	ebruary 2006.	•			
		s action is non-final.				
3)[3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
•	closed in accordance with the practice under be	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.			
Dispo	sition of Claims					
4)[\boxtimes Claim(s) <u>14 and 17-22</u> is/are pending in the ap	oplication.				
.,,	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra	•				
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.		•			
6)[☑ Claim(s) <u>14 and 17-22</u> is/are rejected.					
7)[Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/c	or election requirement.				
Applic	eation Papers					
9)[☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	er	•	•		
•	☑ The drawing(s) filed on <u>29 August 2005</u> is/are:		objected to by the Examiner.	•		
,	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		•			
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct					
11)[\square The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	xaminer. Note the attach	ed Office Action or form PTO-152.			
Priorit	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
	∑ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	n priority under 35 U.S.C	8 119(a)-(d) or (f)			
,	a)⊠ All b)□ Some * c)□ None of:	priority under 55 5.5.5	. 3 · 10(a) (a) 0. (i).			
	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority document	ts have been received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority document		Application No			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prio					
	application from the International Burea	u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).				
	* See the attached detailed Office action for a list	of the certified copies n	ot received.			
			·			
	·	•				
Attachn	nent(s)		•			
1) 🛛 N	otice of References Cited (PTO-892)		w Summary (PTO-413)			
	otice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		lo(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)			
	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) aper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:				
	ACCAPATION OF THE PROPERTY OF					

Application/Control Number: 10/722,405 Page 2

Art Unit: 2862

DETAILED ACTION

1. This action is in response to the communication filed 2/16/2006.

2. It is noted to Applicant that a copy of the reference EP 0916953 A1 to Gotoh et al. which has already been considered is being placed on record with this Office Action.

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Upon further consideration, the allowance of claims 14, 17, 18, and 19 is withdrawn in favor of the rejection found below.

Claim Objections

4. Claims 14 and 17 are objected to because of the following informalities:

As to Claim 14,

The phrase "in the vicinity including" on line 21 is awkward as it is not clear what vicinity the above phrase is referring to.

The phrase "the other side of the second yoke" on the third to last line lacks antecedent basis.

As to Claim 17,

The term "te" on the fourth to last line is unclear. For the purpose of examination, the Examiner is interpreting the above term to be "the."

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Art Unit: 2862

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

6. Claims 14, 17, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Weh et al. (herein referred to as "Weh") (DE 3237843 A1).

As to Claim 14,

Weh discloses a first magnet having a first pole and a second pole (Figure 1), a second magnet having a first pole and a second pole and positioned near the first magnet, the first pole of the second magnet facing the second pole of the first magnet, and the first pole of the first magnet being the same a the first pole of the second magnet, and the second pole of the first magnet being the same as the second pole of the second magnet (Figure 1), a magnetic detecting element (13) in the vicinity of the first and second magnets (Figure 1), wherein a magnet flux density detected in a zone including the magnetic detecting element while a detected body (15) is away from the position detecting sensor more than a predetermined distance is greater than a magnetic flux density detected in the zone while the detected body is positioned near at least one side of the position detected sensor by the predetermined distance (Figure 1 / note the flux lines), a first yoke (3) positioned between the first magnet and the second magnet (Figure 1), a projecting portion (middle projecting portion of (1)) extending at the first voke in a direction at approximately right angles with a line extending between the first and second magnets (Figure 1), a second yoke (2) positioned so as to dispose the magnetic detecting element between the second yoke and a tip end of the projection portion (Figure 1), a third yoke (1) oriented near the projecting portion at the second

Art Unit: 2862

pole side of the second magnet and at one side of the second yoke (Figure 1), the magnetic detecting element being positioned in the vicinity including a line extending between the tip end of the projecting portion and the second yoke (Figure 1), and wherein the detected body approaches to the first pole side of the first magnet and the other side of the second yoke (Figure 1), and wherein the third yoke is positioned in parallel with the extending direction of the projecting portion (Figure 1).

As to Claim 17,

Weh discloses a first yoke (1) including a main body portion and a projecting portion (middle projecting portion of (1)) extending from the main body in a direction at right angles to the main body (Figure 1), the projecting portion possessing a tip end (Figure 1), a first magnet (5) disposed at one end of the main body (Figure 1 / bottom end), the first magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 1), a second magnet (4) disposed at an opposite end of the main body (Figure 1 / top end), the second magnet possessing a north end and a south end (Figure 1), a second yoke (3) positioned so that a space exists between the second yoke and the tip end of the projecting portion (Figure 1), a third yoke (see note 2 below) positioned parallel with the projecting portion (Figure 1), a magnetic detecting element (13) disposed in the space between the second yoke and the tip end of the projecting portion (Figure 1), first magnetic flux from the first magnet travels from the north end of the first magnet towards the second yoke, then flows back to the south end of the first magnet via the magnetic detecting element and the projecting portion (Figure 1 / note flux lines), second magnetic flux from the second magnet travels from the north end of the second

Art Unit: 2862

magnet towards the second yoke via the projecting portion and the magnetic detecting element, then flows back to the south end of the second magnet (Figure 1 / note flux lines), lines of magnetic flux from the first magnet flow in an opposite direction to the lines of magnetic flux from the second magnet at the magnetic detecting element (Figure 1 / note flux line double arrows that are located to the left of (13)), the magnetic fluxes from the first and second magnets passing through the magnetic detecting element are cancelled with each other when the magnetic body is positioned at a place near or adjacent to the position detecting sensor at which the first magnetic flux passes through the magnetic body (Figure 1), the magnetic flux passing through the magnetic detecting element becomes substantially greater than a predetermined threshold value when the magnetic body is positioned away from the position detecting sensor ((Figure 1) and (see note 1 below)).

Note 1: Note that the Examiner is interpreting the predetermined threshold value to be zero detected flux.

Note 2: Note that the third yoke is interpreted to be the top projecting portion of (1) for the claim 17 / claim 18 rejection, and the third yoke is interpreted to be (2) for the claim 17 / claim 19 rejection.

As to Claim 18,

Weh discloses the third yoke (top projecting portion of (1)) is formed integrally with the second yoke to form a substantially L-shaped configuration (Figure 1).

As to Claim 19,

Art Unit: 2862

Weh discloses the third yoke (2) is separated from the second yoke by a predetermined distance (Figure 19.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- 9. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weh et al. (herein referred to as "Weh") (DE 3237843 A1) in view of Gotoh et al. (EP 0916953 A1).

As to Claim 20,

Weh discloses as explained above.

Weh does not disclose the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body differ from each other in at least one dimension.

Art Unit: 2862

Gotoh et al. discloses the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body differ from each other in at least one dimension (Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Weh to include the first and second magnets at both ends of the main body differ from each other in at least one dimension as taught by Gotoh et al. in order to reduce the amount of material use and thus reduce the device cost.

As to Claim 21,

Weh does not disclose the at least one dimension is a thickness of the first and second magnets in an extending direction of the main body of the first and second yoke.

Gotoh et al. discloses the at least one dimension is a thickness of the first and second magnets in an extending direction of the main body (32) of the first and second yoke (Figure 1).

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Weh to include the at least one dimension is a thickness of the first and second magnets in an extending direction of the main body of the first and second yoke as taught by Gotoh et al. in order to reduce the amount of material use and thus reduce the device cost.

As to Claim 22,

Weh does not disclose the at least one dimension is a length perpendicular to an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke.

Gotoh et al. discloses the at least one dimension is a length perpendicular to an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke (Figure 1).

Art Unit: 2862

It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art to modify Weh to include the at least one dimension is a length perpendicular to an extending direction of the main body of the first yoke as taught by Gotoh et al. in order to reduce the amount of material use and thus reduce the device cost.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David Schindler whose telephone number is (571) 272-2112. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (8:00 - 5:00).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward Lefkowitz can be reached on (571) 272-2180. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

David Schindler

Examiner
Art Unit 2862

DS

EDWARD LEFKOWITZ SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800